

Project Title:	Bexhill to Hastings Link Road	
Planning No:	Condition 14 Landscaping Design	
Date Comments Received	05/03/2013	
Response Date	08/03/2013	
Subject	Comments and Responses Rev 4	
Development Control Comment (incl. doc reference if appropriate)	Applicants Response	
<u>Comments Received on 05/03/2013:</u> The format and numbering is much clearer and it would be useful to have the full set of contour drawings updated in this way.	The remainder of the set shall be updated with the next issue of the drawings. This will happen when the design of landscaping with ponds and flood compensation is finalised.	
The detail for the EA access at CH 3800 on the south side of the road is absent.	The highways drainage lagoons and maintenance access tracks have been repositioned to Ch.3345 to allow an outfall from the lagoon.	
Whilst the EA access to the north of the scheme at CH 3900 is shown correctly the previous alignment is also shown on the plans and needs to be omitted to avoid confusion (the same detail has been left on the EMP Cond.7.)	Drawing has been amended.	
Sheet 7 of 10, Drainage and Service Ducts General Arrangement. a. This plan omits the EA pond access which was shown on previous plans to the south of the road at CH 3800, is this an error? I cannot find a copy of Rev.1 of these plans to check, but it is on Rev.0	The highways drainage lagoons and maintenance access tracks have been repositioned to Ch.3345 to allow an outfall from the lagoon.	

<p>Sheet 7 of 10, Hard Landscaping.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The plan indicates that the surface of the Greenway which crosses over the road will be flexible carriageway, is this correct as in R0 this was to be concrete. Clarification is required as to what surface is pedestrian/Cyclist/Equestrian (pink on key) This plan omits the EA pond access which was shown on previous plans to the south of the road at CH 3800, is this an error? The alignment of the EA access on the north side of the road at Ch.3900 has not been corrected. The surface indicated for the EA accesses is indicated as flexible carriageway where previously it was granular The proposed realigned Powdermill ditch is also indicated as flexible carriageway 	<p>Amendments made to drawing where required. The highways drainage lagoons and maintenance access tracks have been repositioned to Ch.3345 to allow an outfall from the lagoon.</p>
<p>Sheet 7 of 10 Planting:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> This plan does not correctly indicate the realigned EA access on the north side of the road at Ch.3900 This plan omits the EA pond access which was shown on previous plans to the south of the road at CH 3800, is this an error? 	<p>Revised access shown.</p> <p>The highways drainage lagoons and maintenance access tracks have been repositioned to Ch.3345 to allow an outfall from the lagoon.</p>
<p>Sheet 14 of 32 Fencing:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> As previously suggested the noise fence adjacent to the Greenway on the north side of the road will be intrusive in the countryside. This was originally intended to be at the bottom of the cutting and adjacent to the carriageway. The purpose and effectiveness of this at the top of the cut slope needs to be justified. 	<p>As per previous response there may be an opportunity to reduce the acoustic barrier requirements. Noise modelling shall be carried out to determine whether a reduction can be made. The findings shall be submitted to ESCC Development Control once carried out.</p>
<p>Sheet 7 of 13 Seeding:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> This plan does not correctly indicate the realigned EA access on the north side of the road at Ch.3900 This plan omits the EA pond access which was shown on previous plans to the south of the road at CH 3800, is this an error? 	<p>Amendments made and revised drawing submitted.</p>